REVISTA CIÊNCIAS DA SAÚDE CEUMA RCS

ISSN 2965-6060

Ensaio

Reflections on 'Soulful Neurology' in the Era of Artificial Intelligence

Reflexões sobre 'neurologia com alma' na era da inteligência artificial

Pedro Renato de Paula Brandão[1,2]

[1] Hospital Sírio-Libanês (Brasília), Centro de Neurologia, SGAS 613, s/n, Lote 94, Asa Sul, Brasília, DF, Brasil.
[2] Ambulatório de Distúrbios do Movimento, Hospital Universitário de Brasília (HUB-UnB), Setor de Grandes Áreas Norte 605, Bairro: Asa Norte, Cidade: Brasília - DF, Cep: 70840-901, Brasil

Abstract

The rise of artificial intelligence (AI) has catalyzed transformative changes across various domains, with neurology emerging as a particularly affected field. This essay delves into a comprehensive analysis of how AI's integration into medicine and neurology revolutionizing diagnostic and therapeutic practices is while simultaneously posing challenges to preserving the humanistic essence of medicine. By examining both technological advancements and the indispensability of empathy and patient-centered care, this exploration aims to understand how AI can coexist with a humanized approach to neurology, or "soulful neurology," as proposed by Prof. Andrew Lees. The preservation of a balance between technological innovation and a humanistic approach will define the essence of neurological practice in the coming decades.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence; Neurology; Humanistic medicine; Patient-Centered care; Technological advancements; Empathy in medicine.

Autor correspondente:
Maria Pedro Renato de Paula Brandão
E-mail: pedrobrandao.neurologia@gmail.com.
Fonte de financiamento:
Não se aplica
Parecer CEP
Não se aplica
Procedência:
Não encomendado
Avaliação por pares:
Externa
Recebido em: 29/11/ 2023
Aprovado em: 21/12/ 2023

Como citar: Brandão PRP. Reflections on 'soulful neurology' in the era of artificial. RCS Revista Ciências da Saúde - CEUMA, 2024; 2(1):39-45. https://doi.org/10.61695/rcs.v2i1.23

Resumo

A ascensão da inteligência artificial (IA) tem promovido uma transformação significativa em diversas esferas, tendo a neurologia figurado como um dos campos particularmente impactado. Este ensaio propõe uma análise detida e mais profundada sobre como a incorporação da IA na medicina e na neurologia, não apenas revoluciona as práticas diagnósticas e terapêuticas, mas também desafia a manutenção da essência humanística na medicina. Ao avaliar tanto os avanços tecnológicos quanto a essencialidade da empatia e do cuidado centrado no paciente, busca-se compreender como a IA pode coexistir com uma neurologia humanizada, ou "neurologia com alma", como descreve o Prof. Andrew Lees. Uma preservação do equilíbrio entre a inovação tecnológica e a abordagem humanística definirá a essência da prática neurológica nas próximas décadas.

Palavras-chave: Inteligência artificial; Neurologia; Medicina Humanística; Cuidado centrado no paciente; Avanços tecnológicos; Empatia na medicina.

INTRODUCTION

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in neurology offers innovative tools for diagnosis, treatment planning, and patient management (Haug; Drazen, 2023). The increasing use of AI algorithms to interpret complex neurological data has the potential to assist in diagnosing various neurological conditions such as epilepsy, stroke, neurodegenerative diseases, as well as rare and genetic neurological diseases (Dt; Ka, 2022). Machine learning models analyze large volumes of clinical patient data, capable of identifying patterns and correlations that elude human observation. Additionally, AI is becoming adept at predicting disease progression and responses to treatments, paving the way for personalized medicine in neurology (Hillis; Bizzo, 2022).

The journey of AI in medicine, and therefore in neurology, began with basic computational models and neural networks, initially focusing on pattern recognition in images and automation of routine tasks (Kaul; Enslin; Gross, 2020; Shortliffe; Shah, 2022). Advances in machine learning and data processing capabilities have dramatically expanded the scope of AI applications in neurology, which now includes the development of sophisticated diagnostic tools, such as EEG interpretation and neuroimaging analysis (Dt; Ka, 2022; Frizzell *et al.*, 2022; Tveit *et al., 2023)*. This evolution of AI in neurology mirrors the broader trajectory of AI, marked by the transition from basic computational assistance to decision-making algorithms and deep learning.

In this rapidly evolving landscape, the challenge arises to integrate AI into neurology without losing sight of some of the discipline's core values – empathy, understanding, and holistic patient assessment. How, therefore, could AI enhance neurological practice and ensure that the humanistic essence of patient care remains at the forefront? It is not just about adopting this exponential technology without any limit, but about intertwining it with the clinical art of neurology to enhance patient outcomes and experiences. By examining the interaction between AI and the human

elements of neurology, there is an aim for a future where technology and human touch coexist harmoniously.

For example, we know that the intersection between AI and personalized medicine is on the verge of revolutionizing healthcare. Personalized medicine aims, among other aspects, to identify patient phenotypes exhibiting atypical responses to conventional treatments or possessing particular care needs (Redekop; Mladsi, 2013; Schleidgen *et al.*, 2013). With sophisticated computational techniques and inferential capabilities, AI can generate profound insights, enable systems to reason and learn, and reinforce clinical decision-making. Research focused on this intersection between AI and personalized medicine will be crucial in addressing the complex challenges ahead.

Ethical and humanistic considerations are fundamental in AI-enhanced neurology, especially when addressing the concept of "Soulful Neurology," proposed by Professor Andrew Lees (Lees, 2022; Teive *et al*., 2020). This practice transcends the application of technical knowledge and its standardized procedures, and, indeed, integrates human sensitivity, empathy, and ethical understanding into patient care. It is crystal clear that the neurologist, in practice, does not only treat neurological disorders but also routinely attends to the emotional, psychological, and social needs of the individual.

The concept of "Soulful Neurology" is deeply rooted in the formative experiences of a physician (Lees, 2022). During medical education, it is important to teach, as Lees does, that neurology is not confined to the scientific and technical domain but extends to a deeper and more humanistic understanding of patient care. A physician's early formative experiences, especially the encounter with their first 'patient', the anatomy laboratory cadaver, are crucial in instilling respect and humility for the human body and the complexity of human life (Hildebrandt, 2019; Warner; Rizzolo, 2006). This experience balances clinical detachment (always necessary) with a genuine human connection, considering that each patient has a unique story and that understanding this story is fundamental to providing compassionate neurological care.

As a physician advances in their training, they must adopt a vigilant and questioning perspective on the diverse human conditions. This includes not only the hospital environment but also everyday urban life, where one can observe passersby exhibiting neurological symptoms or movement disorders. This practice of expanded observation, outside the healthcare setting, aids in understanding the importance of perceiving patients beyond their clinical manifestations. It is an

exercise that enriches the medical perspective and highlights a comprehensive approach that considers the social and behavioral aspects of individuals, essential for holistic and empathetic medical care (American Academy Of Neurology Ethics, 2001). In other words, effective medical care is rooted not only in clinical understanding but also in an appreciation of the patient's life in its broader context. A physician's education, during their undergraduate studies, should emphasize empathy, respect, and ethics as strongly as clinical competence (Bernat, 2014). The concept of "Soulful Neurology" advocates for a neurological practice where science and humanism walk together, valuing the scientific rigor of studying the brain while embracing the humanity of the patient with empathy (Lees, 2022). This balance between science and humanity in medical training is essential to cultivate neurologists who are not only technically proficient but also deeply aware of the humanistic and ethical dimensions of their practice (Ronen; Rosenbaum, 2017).

The introduction of AI in neurology, despite bringing advances in diagnostic accuracy and therapeutic efficiency, presents significant challenges in preserving the "soul" in neurological practice. The risk lies in the potential creation of a depersonalized clinical environment, where technology might overshadow the essential human element in the doctor-patient relationship. In this scenario, it becomes crucial to find a balance between the effective application of AI tools and maintaining a genuine human connection with patients. For neurologists, the emerging challenge is to integrate these technologies in a way that complements and enriches the doctor-patient relationship, not replacing the human touch, but rather using AI as a tool that enhances personalized and empathetic care.

Furthermore, the growing reliance on AI algorithms in clinical decision-making raises significant ethical questions. Despite analyzing data with unparalleled efficiency, AI completely lacks ethical judgment and contextual understanding, which are crucial elements of medical practice. Consequently, the question arises as to what extent healthcare professionals should trust AI recommendations and how to ensure that human judgment maintains its central role in clinical decision-making. A pertinent example in this context is the challenge of using AI chatbots in medical settings, particularly with systems like GPT-4 (Lee; Bubeck; Petro, 2023). GPT-4, developed by OpenAI, exemplifies a technology with general cognitive abilities and training based on open-source data, such as medical materials and scientific research. This system has proven useful in performing various medical tasks, such as writing medical record notes, responding to questions from the United States Medical Licensing Examination (USMLE), and providing rapid consultations. Its ability to generate clinical documentation and process information from doctor-patient interactions is impressive. However, it is important to recognize the limitations of GPT-4, such as the occurrence

of "hallucinations" or errors in the data produced, and the need for automatic review mechanisms to improve information accuracy. Despite the great potential of GPT-4, its use should still be approached with caution, especially in clinical environments, due to its imperfections and inherent risks, underscoring the need for ongoing debate about the role of AI in transforming and evolving healthcare. This emphasizes the need for a balanced approach that values both technological innovation and human judgment in medicine.

Finally, maintaining a balance between technology and human touch in patient interactions is crucial. The use of AI should not suppress the importance of direct human contact, essential for effective and compassionate neurological care (Shukla *et al*., 2017; Sinclair *et al*., 2017). Finding ways to integrate AI technologies in a manner that enhances, rather than diminishes, human interaction is essential for preserving the integrity of neurological practice (Kedar; Khazanchi, 2023).

As AI continues to shape the future of neurology and medicine at large, healthcare professionals must remain vigilant in maintaining a balance between technological efficiency and empathetic understanding. The essence of "Soulful Neurology" lies in the ability to harmonize technology with human sensitivity, ensuring that medicine and neurology do not become depersonalized or mechanized. In the context of "Soulful Neurology", one can expand the understanding of humanism in medicine beyond the direct interaction between doctor and patient, to include the broader structures of health and public policies. This viewpoint challenges the traditional notion, goes beyond the interindividual relationship, and proposes a comprehensive reflection on how policies and health systems influence the practice of neurology (Ferry-Danini, 2018). In integrating AI into neurology, we must assess how this technology fits not only in the context of scientific innovation but also in its capacity to improve health outcomes more broadly. AI has the potential to transform neurology not just through diagnostic accuracy and therapeutic efficacy, but also as a catalyst for more efficient, equitable, and patient-centered health policies.

Furthermore, the intersection of AI with neurological practice presents a unique opportunity to reinforce the relationship between science and humanism, countering the notion that they are mutually exclusive (Ferry-Danini, 2018). This includes careful consideration of the ethical and policy implications of AI, such as data privacy issues, fair access to innovative treatments, and the impact of health policies on maintaining the quality and availability of neurological care (World Health Organization, 2021). Thus, "Soulful Neurology" in the era of AI is not limited to compassionate and empathetic medical practice but also actively engages with the political issues that shape the health and well-being of patients on a broader, population scale.

As we face these new challenges as healthcare professionals, ethical considerations and patient data security must be at the forefront. In embracing the possibilities of AI, we must equally ensure that human judgment continues to be a central pillar in clinical decision-making. Paraphrasing the conclusions of another recent essay, one must agree that artificial intelligence and machine learning will not replace healthcare professionals (Haug; Drazen, 2023). On the contrary, they have the potential to enhance the ability of these professionals to perform their functions more efficiently, providing more time for the essential human interactions that make medicine such a valued and rewarding profession.

REFERENCES

American Academy Of Neurology Ethics, L. Humanistic dimensions of professionalism in the practice of neurology. Neurology, 2001; 56(10): 1261–1263. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.56.10.1261

Bernat JL. Challenges to ethics and professionalism facing the contemporary neurologist. Neurology, 2014; 83(14): 1285–1293. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000000845

Dt J, Ka K. Artificial Intelligence and the Practice of Neurology in 2035: The Neurology Future Forecasting Series. Neurology, 2022; 98(6). https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000013200

Ferry-Danini J. A new path for humanistic medicine. Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics, 2018; 39(1):57–77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11017-018-9433-4

Frizzell TO et al. Artificial intelligence in brain MRI analysis of Alzheimer's disease over the past 12 years: A systematic review. Ageing Research Reviews, 2022; 77:101614. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2022.101614

Haug CJ, Drazen JM. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Clinical Medicine. New England Journal of Medicine, 2023; 388(13):1201–1208. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra2302038

Hildebrandt S. The Role of History and Ethics of Anatomy in Medical Education. Anatomical Sciences Education, 2019; 12(4): 425–431. https://doi.org/10.1002/ase.1852

Hillis JM, Bizzo BC. Use of Artificial Intelligence in Clinical Neurology. Seminars in Neurology, 2022; 42(1):39–047. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1742180

kaul V, Enslin S, Gross SA. History of artificial intelligence in medicine. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2020; 92(4): 807–812. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2020.06.040

kedar S, Khazanchi D. Neurology education in the era of artificial intelligence. Current Opinion in Neurology, 2023; 36(1): 51. https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO.0000000000001130

Lee P, Bubeck S, Petro J. benefits, limits, and risks of GPT-4 as an ai chatbot for medicine. New England Journal of Medicine, 2023; 388(13): 1233–1239. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsr2214184

Lees AJ. Brainspotting: adventures in neurology. [s.l.] Notting Hill Editions, 2022.

Redekop WK, Mladsi D. The Faces of Personalized Medicine: A Framework for Understanding Its Meaning and Scope. Value in Health, Personalized Medicine and the Role of Health Economics and Outcomes Research: Applications, Emerging Trends, and Future Research, 2013;16(6):S4–S9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2013.06.005

Ronen GM, Rosenbaum PL. Reflections on ethics and humanity in pediatric neurology: the value of recognizing ethical issues in common clinical practice. Current Neurology and Neuroscience Reports, 2017;17(5): 39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910-017-0749-7

Schleidgen S et al. What is personalized medicine: sharpening a vague term based on a systematic literature review. BMC Medical Ethics, 2013; 14(1): 55. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6939-14-55

Shortliffe EH, Shah NH. AI in medicine: some pertinent history. In: Cohen TA, Patel VL, Shortliffe EH (Eds.). Intelligent Systems in Medicine and Health: the role of ai. Cognitive Informatics in Biomedicine and Healthcare. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2022: 21–50. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-09108-7_2

Shukla A et al. Compassionate Neurological Care OSCE-A Primer for Teaching Patient Centered Care in Neurology Clerkship (P1.056). Neurology, 2017; 88(16) Supplement. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.88.16_supplement.P1.056

Sinclair S et al. Measuring Compassion in Healthcare: A Comprehensive and Critical Review. The Patient - Patient-Centered Outcomes Research, 2017; 10(4); 389–405. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40271-016-0209-5

Teive HAG et al. Tribute to Professor Andrew J. Lees. Arquivos de Neuro-Psiquiatria, 2020; 78: 307–310. https://doi.org/10.1590/0004-282x20190141

Tveit J et al. Automated interpretation of clinical electroencephalograms using artificial intelligence. JAMA Neurology, 2023; 80(8):805–812. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2023.1645

Warner JH, Rizzolo LJ. Anatomical instruction and training for professionalism from the 19th to the 21st centuries. Clinical Anatomy (New York, N.Y.), 2006;19(5): 403–414. https://doi.org/10.1002/ca.20290

world Health Organization. Ethics and governance of artificial intelligence for health. [s.l: s.n.]. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240029200